# Result Framework of PRFII (2012-2016)

► Greater than 75% satisfaction levels reported by beneficiaries in targeted villages regarding improved services and local development planning (Percentage, Custom)

	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
Value	0.00	80.00	99.00	80.00
Date	09-Jun-2011	31-Dec-2013	Oct-2015	31-Dec-2016
		Capacity Building Study	Based on Internal Sustainability Assessment 2015	

Comments: For further information we will have a look at 6-12 months checklist and external report

Improved access to and util	lization of basic economic an	d social services in kum bans	supported by PRF	(Text, Custom)
	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
Value	0		Impact Evaluation expected in February 2016-	
Date	31-Oct-2012	05-Dec-2014	01-Jul-2015	31-Dec-2016

Comments

End targets have been set for different types of sub-projects. By project design, respective sub-indicators will be assessed through the impact evaluation as the final report already done in May 2016.

	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
Value	Ν	Y	Y	Y
% of HHs with acce	ss to and utilization of health serv	ices (Percentage, Custom Suppl	ement)	
% of HHs with acces	ss to and utilization of health serv	ices (Percentage, Custom Suppl	ement)	
% of HHs with acce	ss to and utilization of health serv Baseline	ices (Percentage, Custom Suppl Actual (Previous)	ement) Actual (Current)	End Target

▲%. of HHs with improved access to and utilization of safe water resources (Percentage, Custom Supplement)				
	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
Value	5.00 75.00	11.00	Impact evaluation expected February 2016	8.00

<sup>1</sup> Based on the Endline evaluation report which is only 4% increased come pared with baseline

	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
/alue	30.00	48.00	Impact evaluation May 2016	38.00
Direct project benef	ficiaries (Number, Core)			
	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
Value	0.00	684,559.00	970,431 <sup>2</sup>	700,000.00
Date	09-Jun-2011	30-Sep-2015	30-Sept-2016	31-Dec-2016
Target value changed	to accommodate the AF. The target valu le previous value which was collected at t			rience. The current
Target value changed value is the same as th				rience. The current
value is the same as th	e previous value which was collected at t			rience. The current
Target value changed value is the same as th	e previous value which was collected at t s (Percentage, Core Supplement)	the beginning of the current Subp	project Cycle.	
Target value changed value is the same as th 	e previous value which was collected at t s (Percentage, Core Supplement) Baseline	the beginning of the current Subp Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
Target value changed value is the same as th Female beneficiaries Value	e previous value which was collected at t s (Percentage, Core Supplement) Baseline	the beginning of the current Subp Actual (Previous) 49.53	Actual (Current) 49.8 <sup>3</sup>	End Target 50.00
Target value changed value is the same as th Female beneficiaries Value	e previous value which was collected at t s (Percentage, Core Supplement) Baseline 0.00	the beginning of the current Subp Actual (Previous) 49.53	Actual (Current) 49.8 <sup>3</sup>	End Target 50.00

#### ►Decision-making on allocation of PRF resources involve at least 40% women (Percentage, Custom)

	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
Value	0.00	47.8	49	40.00
Date	09-Jun-2011	30-Sep-2015	30-Sept-2016	31-Dec-2016

30-Sep-2015

30-Sept-2016

31-Dec-2016

### Comments

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This is the cumulative number (updated data as September 2016) that some duplicated villages that received more than one sub-projects, if we counted only unduplicated villages, there are 1349 villages that received at least one sub-project , the total direct beneficiaries is approximately 695,663 people . As mentioned in semi report that data will be updated for annual as September 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Based on the most updated data in MIS system and based on the sub-projects that we approved NOL including Cycle 13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This in average number including Cycle 13 that about 83 % of total beneficiaries are ethnic groups

## **Intermediate Results Indicators**

▶Sub-projects with post-project community engagement or O&M arrangements (%) (Percentage, Custom)					
	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target	
Value	0.00	99.00 <sup>5</sup>	95.00 <sup>6</sup>	90.00	
Date	09-Jun-2011	30-Sep-2014	30-Sep-2015	31-Dec-2016	
			Internal sustainability Assessment		

#### Comments

In according to PRF regulation, project proposals will include list of O&M Committee meaning the committee shall be appointed prior to the actual implementation. To assess whether the committee is functional and operating, 6-12 months checklists shall be filled and the final report will be done by December 2016.

► Grievances registered related to delivery of project benefits addressed (%) (Percentage, Custom)				
	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
Value	0.00	100%	100% <sup>7</sup>	90.00
Date	09-Jun-2011	30- Sep -2014	30-June- 2016	31-Dec-2016
			MIS	

#### Comments

#### ► Community contribution in the total project costs (%) (Percentage, Custom)

	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
Value	0.00	11.00	8% <sup>8</sup>	0.00
Date		30 Sep 2015	30-Sept- 2016 (MIS)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> An internal assessment of whether SPs were operational or were unused by PRF district staff with Kumban Facilitators under supervised by M&E staff (September 2015), as total of 635 SPs of 648 SPs completes in Cycle 9 and 10 were operationa with O&M committeel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> An internal assessment in 30 September 2015, total of 813 SPs of the 856 SPs completion in Cycle9, 10 and 11 were operational with O&E committee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>During October 2015- Sept 2016, there are 17 complains as recorded in FRM system, and all of them were addressed with agreed procedures (detail in annual report 2015-2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The proportion of community contribution is lower than last Cycle (12%) due to the face that 17 sub projects out of a total of 505 sub projects are not yet provided with figures. It is certain that when all figures are assigned, expected proportion will be achieved.

Comments: Please note that the above proportion is obtained from data in the database on 21 July 2016 and will be updated after completion of procurement process where amount of community contribution will be finalized and agreed upon.

#### ▶% PRF kum ban plans used by government and/or other development actors for planning and funding (Percentage, Custom)

	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
Value	0.00	48%	56% <sup>9</sup>	35.00
Date	09-Jun-2011	30- Sep- 2015	30- Sept- 2016	31-Dec-2016
			MIS	

Comments: the actual number is 21 percent exceeded expected outcome from result indicator

#### ▶% of districts where district officials provide technical assistance and supervision to communities (Percentage, Custom)

	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
Value	0.00	100%	100%	85.00
Date	09-Jun-2011	30- Sep- 2015	30- Sep- 2016	31-Dec-2016
			MIS/Annual Report 2014-2015	

Comments

The current value is the same as the previous value which was collected at the beginning of the current Subproject Cycle.

#### ▶# of communities able to plan, implement and monitor their activities (Number, Custom) **Baseline** Actual (Previous) Actual (Current) **End Target** Value 0.00 1.124 1,349 1,300.00 09-Jun-2011 Date 30 Sep 2015 30-Sept-2016 31-Dec-2016 MIS database

Comments: this data is based on the village received at least one sub-project (unduplicated number) as the most updated data in September 2016, the final data will be done and reported in annual progress report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The latest data about KDP used by other is 155 KDP out of 278 KDP, this is the final update as 30 September 2016

> v0/ of sub project activities a	no of high toohnigo	l quality (Dancontac	(Custom)
▶x% of sub-project activities a	te of mgn technica	i quanty (i ci centag	ge, Custom)

	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
Value	0.00	0.00	90	85.00
Date	09-Jun-2011		Technical Evaluation 2016	31-Dec-2016

Comments

Current data is based on an analysis of sub projects in regards to the cost effectiveness which has been done by an external consultancy firm recruited by the World Bank.

#/type of sub-project a	ctivities implemented (Number, C	Custom)		
	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
Value	0.00	1,426	1,930 <sup>10</sup>	1,200.00
Date	09-Jun-2011	30 Sep 2015	30-Sept-2016	31-Dec-2016
			MIS	

Comments: The final data updated in MIS database as end of September 2016

► Improvement in dietary diversity among pregnant/ lactating women and children aged 6-24 months (Percentage, Custom)				
	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target
Value	0.00	0.00	85	30.00
Date	01-Sep-2015		Endline LN evaluation	31-Dec-2016

Comments: The Endline evaluation in 2016, found that about 85% of mothers reported starting breast feeding within one hour of birth, and all mothers have started within 24 hours of birth

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> As end of June 2016, there are 505 sub-projects (out of 507 that sent for NOL, the changes due to few sub-projects were merged from two to be one sub-project. Any changes can be happened for Cycle 13 and will mention in annual progress report.

►No. and type of livelihood activities supported by seed grant (Number, Custom)					
	Baseline	Actual (Previous)	Actual (Current)	End Target	
Value	1,675.00	3,755	3,776	2400.00	
Date	01-Sep-2015	30 June 2016	30-September-2016	31-Dec-2016	

Comments

New indicator introduced under AF. Impact data to be collected through Endline survey based on 306 SHGs.